

Breakdown of participation and results feedback form

August 2020

Breakdown of participation

Overall we received 1200 registrations, which was about double as usual.

The analysis after the event showed that we had around 500 different people logging in to Zoom, but never more than 100 people per session at the same time. In addition, a significant number of participants were watching the stream, either

live during the session or later on the recording. Taking the numbers from Zoom and streaming / recording together we reached a comparable number of participants as we would have with a face-to-face meeting.

An example from day 1 in Studio The Hague: 266 unique participants logged into the Zoom room. In addition, 47 unique participants (peak number) followed sessions via live stream on YouTube. In total 269 unique participants watched the live stream or the recording of this Studio on this day. Until 27th August 2020 overall 393 people visited this recording.

It is notable that in a physical meeting the room capacity for workshops would not have accommodated as many participants as we could accommodate in the virtual meeting. However, there was quite a difference to the number of registration.

Zoom rooms <i>Unique participants per day (duplicates removed)</i>			
	Day 0	Day 1	Day 2
Studio The Hague	163	266	215
Studio Berlin	122	94	99
Studio Trieste		92	74

Video streams <i>count by Google as of 2020-08-27</i>					
	parallel views during livestream	Unique viewers within 24 hours			Unique viewers till end of August
		Day 0	Day 1	Day 2	
Stream of Day 0, Studio The Hague	18	121	54	27	204
Stream of Day 0, Studio Berlin	25	136	29	11	199
Stream of Day 1, Studio The Hague	47		269	47	393
Stream of Day 1, Studio Berlin	14		77	15	112
Stream of Day 1, Studio Trieste	22		110	18	174
Stream of Day 2, Studio The Hague	30			155	252
Stream of Day 2, Studio Berlin	22			69	95
Stream of Day 2, Studio Trieste	17			106	143

Results Feedback form EuroDIG 2020

We got 103 answers on our feedback form. For average and percentage calculations “Please select” and/or “NA” statements are excluded.

Stakeholder Group

NA	13	
Academia	16	17,78% (answered)
Civil society	25	27,78% (answered)
Government	11	12,22% (answered)
International org.	5	5,56% (answered)
Media	1	1,11% (answered)
Other	2	2,22% (answered)
Private sector	13	14,44% (answered)
Technical community	17	18,89% (answered)

Role at EuroDIG (multiple combinations)

NA	13	
Focal Point	6	5,94% (answered)
Focal Point, Moderator	1	0,99% (answered)
Focal Point, Org Team Member, Speaker, Moderator, Participant	2	1,98% (answered)
Focal Point, Participant	1	0,99% (answered)
Moderator, Participant	1	0,99% (answered)
Org Team Member	14	13,86% (answered)
Org Team Member, Big Stage		
Organiser	1	0,99% (answered)
Org Team Member, Moderator	1	0,99% (answered)
Org Team Member, Participant	5	4,95% (answered)
Participant	59	58,42% (answered)
Reporter	1	0,99% (answered)
Speaker	8	7,92% (answered)
Speaker, Participant	1	0,99% (answered)

I attended

NA	3	
most at 2 days	32	32% (answered)
one session where I was involved	6	6% (answered)
selected sessions	62	62% (answered)

How did you participate?

Please select/NA	3	
stream	17	17% (answered)
stream and zoom	24	24% (answered)
zoom	59	59% (answered)

How do you rate the quality of EuroDIG sessions overall?

average (answered)	4,26	
Please select/NA	6	
1 = not sufficient	1	1,03% (answered)
2 = sufficient	2	2,06% (answered)
3 = average	7	7,22% (answered)
4 = good	48	49,48% (answered)
5 = very good	39	40,21% (answered)

How do you rate the quality of EuroDIG plenaries?

average (answered)	4,22	
Please select/NA	17	
1 = not sufficient	0	0,00% (answered)
2 = sufficient	3	3,49% (answered)
3 = average	8	9,30% (answered)
4 = good	42	48,84% (answered)
5 = very good	33	38,37% (answered)

How do you rate the quality of EuroDIG workshops?

average (answered)	4,25	
Please select/NA	26	
1 = not sufficient	2	2,60% (answered)
2 = sufficient	0	0,00% (answered)
3 = average	7	9,09% (answered)
4 = good	36	46,75% (answered)
5 = very good	32	41,56% (answered)

How do you rate the quality of EuroDIG Big Stages?

average (answered)	4,04	
Please select/NA	49	
1 = not sufficient	3	5,56% (answered)
2 = sufficient	2	3,70% (answered)
3 = average	6	11,11% (answered)
4 = good	22	40,74% (answered)
5 = very good	21	38,89% (answered)

Please indicate the level of activity in the plenaries you participated in.

average (answered)	3,69	
Please select/NA	17	
1 = not sufficient	4	4,65% (answered)
2 = sufficient	6	6,98% (answered)
3 = average	21	24,42% (answered)
4 = good	37	43,02% (answered)
5 = very good	18	20,93% (answered)

Please indicate the level of activity in the workshops you participated in.

average (answered)	3,85	
Please select/NA	25	
1 = not sufficient	5	6,41% (answered)
2 = sufficient	1	1,28% (answered)
3 = average	17	21,79% (answered)
4 = good	33	42,31% (answered)
5 = very good	22	28,21% (answered)

Please indicate the level of activity in the day zero sessions you participated in.

average (answered)	3,88	
Please select/NA	44	
1 = not sufficient	3	5,08% (answered)
2 = sufficient	4	6,78% (answered)
3 = average	11	18,64% (answered)
4 = good	20	33,90% (answered)
5 = very good	21	35,59% (answered)

Please rate the level of speakers at EuroDIG?

average (answered)	4,26	
Please select/NA	3	
1 = not sufficient	1	1,00% (answered)
2 = sufficient	3	3,00% (answered)
3 = average	6	6,00% (answered)
4 = good	49	49,00% (answered)
5 = very good	41	41,00% (answered)

Please rate the relevance of participants for you personally?

average (answered)	3,93	
Please select/NA	8	
1 = not sufficient	4	4,21% (answered)
2 = sufficient	3	3,16% (answered)
3 = average	14	14,74% (answered)
4 = good	49	51,58% (answered)
5 = very good	25	26,32% (answered)

Did you miss a stakeholder group?

Please select/NA	32	
yes	22	30,99% (answered)
no	49	69,01% (answered)

If yes, which group?

Please select/NA	79	
Academia	2	8,33% (answered)
Civil society	3	12,50% (answered)
Government	6	25,00% (answered)
International org.	1	4,17% (answered)
Media	1	4,17% (answered)
Other	4	16,67% (answered)
Private sector	2	8,33% (answered)
Technical community	5	20,83% (answered)

If other, which group? (single mention)

Activists and Social Media
 Big Tech
 End-Users
 Especially European tech SMEs
 More government participats
 Previatse secteur
 Regulators, consumer advocates, internet engineers,
 The speakers were not representative and greater diversity
 would upgrade the quality of the content.
 XBRL
 Youth

Do you think it was the right decision to move EuroDIG to cyberspace?

yes	103	100% (answered)
no	0	0% (answered)

Was the programme ...

N/A	4	
just right	82	82,8% (answered)
to packed	9	9,1% (answered)
to lightweight	8	8,1% (answered)

Would you have preferred ...

Please select/NA	8	
longer period	25	26,3% (answered)
one day	12	12,6% (answered)
just right	58	61,1% (answered)

How do you rate the technical implementation from a physical to a virtual meeting?

average (answered)	4,22	
Please select/NA	7	
1 = not sufficient	2	2,1% (answered)
2 = sufficient	4	4,2% (answered)
3 = average	8	8,3% (answered)
4 = good	39	40,6% (answered)
5 = very good	43	44,8% (answered)

How easy was navigation through the website, wiki, shed?

average (answered)	3,78	
Please select/NA	4	
1 = not sufficient	3	3,0% (answered)
2 = sufficient	8	8,1% (answered)
3 = average	24	24,2% (answered)
4 = good	37	37,4% (answered)
5 = very good	27	27,3% (answered)

Did you use the Forum?

Please select/NA	8	
no	71	74,7% (answered)
yes	24	25,3% (answered)

How do you rate the management and moderation in the studios (zoom rooms)?

average (answered)	4,20	
Please select/NA	6	
1 = not sufficient	2	2,1% (answered)
2 = sufficient	2	2,1% (answered)
3 = average	14	14,4% (answered)
4 = good	36	37,1% (answered)
5 = very good	43	44,3% (answered)

Do you think moderation between the studios and sessions was useful?

Please select/NA	12	
no	3	3,3% (answered)
yes	88	96,7% (answered)

How do you rate the moderation between the sessions?

average (answered)	4,03	
Please select/NA	14	
1 = not sufficient	3	3,4% (answered)
2 = sufficient	4	4,5% (answered)
3 = average	7	7,9% (answered)
4 = good	48	53,9% (answered)
5 = very good	27	30,3% (answered)

How do you rate the EuroDIG session planning process?

average (answered)	4,24	
Please select/NA	53	
1 = not sufficient	0	0,00% (answered)
2 = sufficient	2	4,00% (answered)
3 = average	5	10,00% (answered)
4 = good	22	44,00% (answered)
5 = very good	21	42,00% (answered)

How do you rate the support from the EuroDIG secretariat in the session planning process?

average (answered)	4,49	
Please select/NA	52	
1 = not sufficient	0	0,00% (answered)
2 = sufficient	1	1,96% (answered)
3 = average	1	1,96% (answered)
4 = good	21	41,18% (answered)
5 = very good	28	54,90% (answered)

How do you rate the usability and quality of the EuroDIG wiki?

average (answered)	4,18	
Please select/NA	53	
1 = not sufficient	0	0,00% (answered)
2 = sufficient	1	2,00% (answered)
3 = average	6	12,00% (answered)
4 = good	26	52,00% (answered)
5 = very good	17	34,00% (answered)

Please indicate the level of activity in the Org Team you participated in.

average (answered)	3,98	
Please select/NA	62	
1 = not sufficient	1	2,44% (answered)
2 = sufficient	2	4,88% (answered)
3 = average	8	19,51% (answered)
4 = good	16	39,02% (answered)
5 = very good	14	34,15% (answered)

How do you rate the support from the Subject Matter Expert in the session planning process?

average (answered)	3,86	
Please select/NA	61	
1 = not sufficient	3	7,14% (answered)
2 = sufficient	1	2,38% (answered)
3 = average	6	14,29% (answered)
4 = good	21	50,00% (answered)
5 = very good	11	26,19% (answered)

How do you rate the collaboration of reporters and Org Teams?

average (answered)	3,98	
Please select/NA	58	
1 = not sufficient	2	4,44% (answered)
2 = sufficient	1	2,22% (answered)
3 = average	7	15,56% (answered)
4 = good	21	46,67% (answered)
5 = very good	14	31,11% (answered)

To find the original form please visit
<https://www.eurodig.org/index.php?id=825>



EuroDIG is the regional, pan European Internet Governance forum.

DIG stands for 'Dialogue on Internet Governance', and is the unique selling point of the annual event that bring together Internet stakeholders from across the spectrum of government, industry, civil society, academia and the technical community.

Stakeholders and participants work over the course of each year to develop, in a bottom-up fashion, a dynamic agenda that explores the pressing issues surrounding how we develop, use, regulate, and govern the Internet. Participants come away with broader, more informed perspectives on these issues and new partners in responding to the challenges of the digital society.

More details at eurodig.org.