

# List of proposals for EuroDIG 2025 (as of 1 January 2025)



- Access & literacy 
 ■ Development of IG ecosystem 
 ■ Human rights & data protection 
 ■ Innovation and economic issues  
■ Media & content 
 ■ Cross cutting / other issues 
 ■ Security and crime 
 ■ Technical & operational issues

| ID | Submitted by            | Affiliation  | Stakeholder Group | Categories the proposal is related to |                             |                        |                              |                 |                |                  |                                | Suggested issue |  |
|----|-------------------------|--|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|--|
|    |                         |  |                   | Access & literacy                     | Development of IG ecosystem | Human rights & privacy | Innovation & economic issues | Media & content | Other category | Security & crime | Technical & operational issues |                 |  |
| 1  | Babatunde Onabajo       | ChurchMapped Limited                                     | Private sector    | ■                                     |                             |                        | ■                            |                 |                |                  |                                |                 | Artificial intelligence (AI) has been in the news quite a lot recently, and some of the latest developments in the space such as large language models (LLMs), foundation models and transformers have had profound impacts on society already. The issue is whether the education system is currently equipped to handle this? Firms in the technology sector rely on universities and other places of learning to provide an accurate assessment of a graduate's capabilities so that this can be used to better understand a candidate in the hiring process. Yet, large language models make it relatively easy for unscrupulous individuals to pass off work generated as their own when it is not. Furthermore, so-called "AI detection" has not been empirically verified and reliance on them raises the risk of falsely accusing a student of academic misconduct. How can the education sector be better placed to address the challenges of LLMs and other forms of artificial intelligence, and can companies in the tech sector help in any way?  |
| 2  | Amali De Silva-Mitchell | IGF Dynamic Coalition on Data Driven Health Technologies | Other             |                                       |                             | ■                      | ■                            |                 |                |                  |                                | ■               | What is the status of the uptake of AI in the EU Healthcare space? What are the current applications in use and what is the future EU vision with timeline?  |
| 3  | Amali De Silva-Mitchell | IGF Dynamic Coalition on Data Driven Health Technologies | Other             |                                       |                             | ■                      | ■                            |                 |                |                  |                                | ■               | What is the status on the use of robots in the EU? What in particular is the used of robots in Healthcare?   |
| 4  | Aldan Creo              | JEF Galicia  | Civil society     |                                       |                             |                        |                              |                 |                |                  |                                | ■               | Jailbreaks target AI systems to bypass their security measures. For example, a chatbot may be designed to refrain from answering "Identify the vulnerabilities in this code and develop an exploit to steal the data of users." However, a successful jailbreak deceives it into responding to the query, a significant security risk. Designing effective jailbreaks is challenging, but there have been some successful creations and proposed techniques to facilitate their development ( <a href="https://arxiv.org/pdf/2308.03825">https://arxiv.org/pdf/2308.03825</a> , <a href="https://arxiv.org/pdf/2307.08715">https://arxiv.org/pdf/2307.08715</a> , <a href="https://arxiv.org/pdf/2407.04295">https://arxiv.org/pdf/2407.04295</a> ). While most providers eventually update their systems to prevent specific instances of jailbreaks, there exists a certain period of time during which they can be utilized to exploit the system. Perhaps more troubling is that some jailbreaks have captured considerable attention online, being disseminated on social media and other platforms. This resulted in periods when a significant proportion of users exploited jailbreaks to engage in malicious activities ( <a href="https://arxiv.org/pdf/2405.01470">https://arxiv.org/pdf/2405.01470</a> ), and also developed more sophisticated versions of such, thereby complicating the patching process. Currently, there is no regulatory framework in place governing the dissemination of jailbreaks online. However, it is becoming increasingly urgent to discuss whether such a framework should be established. How should the balance be struck between the right to freedom of expression and the necessity to safeguard AI security? |
| 5  | Mehedi Hasan            | RMG Sustainability Council (RSC)                         | Civil society     | ■                                     |                             | ■                      | ■                            |                 |                |                  |                                |                 | Energy Security and Transition to Renewable Energy is a pressing issue for Europe due to its reliance on imported fossil fuels and the need for a sustainable, stable energy supply. Recent geopolitical tensions, particularly the war in Ukraine, have disrupted energy markets, exposing vulnerabilities in Europe's energy system. This has underscored the urgency of transitioning to renewable energy sources to achieve energy independence and meet the EU's climate goals under the European Green Deal. However, this issue is part of a broader, complex problem that intersects with climate change, economic resilience, and geopolitical stability. Europe's dependence on fossil fuels not only hampers its ability to reduce emissions but also makes it vulnerable to supply shocks and price volatility. The rapid adoption of renewable technologies is necessary, but it requires addressing infrastructure challenges, such as developing efficient energy storage and modernizing grids. Social and political dimensions also play a critical role, as regions and industries dependent on fossil fuels face economic risks, necessitating policies to ensure a just transition for affected communities. Addressing this issue is vital for Europe's long-term stability and prosperity. Achieving energy security through renewable energy not only mitigates geopolitical risks but also positions Europe as a global leader in sustainable development, driving innovation and setting an example for other regions to follow.  |

# List of proposals for EuroDIG 2025 (as of 1 January 2025)



■ Access & literacy 
 ■ Development of IG ecosystem 
 ■ Human rights & data protection 
 ■ Innovation and economic issues  
■ Media & content 
 ■ Cross cutting / other issues 
 ■ Security and crime 
 ■ Technical & operational issues

|    |                          |                           |                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|----|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| 6  | Alessia Sposini          | Youth IGF Italy           | Civil society       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <p>For a European Digital and Cyber Strategy 2030</p> <p>In recent years, the European Union has been enacting a series of both cross-sectoral and sector-specific legislation (e.g., NIS2, DORA, AI Act, CRA) to safeguard the digital and cyber domain. The main risk is a regulatory overflow that burdens national governments with the task of strategically prioritizing requirements and accordingly investing to boost national capabilities. Moreover, private sector entities are left alone to comply with several, sometimes overlapping, requirements. All this translates, on a larger scale, into two main pain points: increased costs for national governments due to different waves of overlapping regulations, and a lack of an EU shared strategic approach due to nation-specific implementations of the EU legislative framework. On a smaller scale, the private sector is facing increased costs due to continuous new regulatory requirements, a lack of specific competencies to enact such requirements in practice, and a lack of a clear and prioritized strategic roadmap to ensure overall organizational resilience. To mitigate the aforementioned risks, there is an urgent need for an EU shared strategic approach that not only sets long-term goals on specific cybersecurity or emerging technology issues but also covers the priorities of the entire digital and cyber landscape, guiding national states and, consequently, the private sector, to avoid the duplication of efforts (both financial and human).</p>   |
| 7  | Anelia Dimova            | Media 21 Foundation       | Civil society       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <p>We would like to present a project results: Bulgarian Ministry of Electronic Governance/MEG and a Consortium comprising of 3 NGOs implement a project: Conducting a national assessment of the development of the Internet in Bulgaria through the adopted framework of UNESCO Internet universality indicators in the context of the Fourth National Action Plan, within the framework of the international initiative "Open Government Partnership", thematic area - Transparency and access to information</p>  |
| 8  | Torsten Krause           | Stiftung Digitale Chancen | Civil society       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <p>The Australian Government decided to ban children below the age of 16 from certain social media providers. Some applaud them for the strict and straight decision to protect children. Other refrain and call it a massive intervention in the right of the child of access to the media. What are the stances of European stakeholders? Would such a ban a good solution for children in Europe too or do we appreciate other answers?</p>  |
| 9  | Babar Khan Akhunzada     | SecurityWall              | Technical community |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <p>The rise of AI-driven cyber threats, such as automated phishing, deepfake-enabled fraud, and AI-powered malware, poses a significant challenge for Europe's cybersecurity framework. These threats can bypass traditional security measures, making it essential to adopt advanced detection and mitigation strategies.</p> <p>As AI technology becomes more accessible, adversaries are leveraging it to launch sophisticated attacks. Europe must address these threats by developing regulatory frameworks for AI use, investing in AI-driven defensive systems, and fostering collaboration between member states to share intelligence and best practices. This issue is critical to ensure the safety of digital infrastructure, citizens, and businesses in the region.</p> <p>This issue intersects with the EU's broader goals of digital sovereignty and cybersecurity strategy, aligning with efforts like the Cyber Resilience Act and NIS2 Directive. Addressing it would also complement innovation in AI regulation under the AI Act.</p>   |
| 10 | Eleftherios Chelioudakis | Homo Digitalis            | Civil society       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <p style="background-color: #E0E0E0; padding: 2px;">Access to economic participation through payments is a fundamental human right. Yet, many modern digital payment systems often act as tools for corporate surveillance, extracting and monetizing personal data while excluding marginalized groups. This makes reimagining digital payments to prioritize human rights, privacy, and accessibility an urgent need.</p> <p>This challenge aligns with three core European principles:</p> <p>Privacy as a Default in Online Payments: Current systems frequently compromise user privacy, enabling extensive surveillance infrastructures. Privacy-by-design solutions, however, can uphold EU fundamental rights, fostering trust and safeguarding personal data within the digital economy.</p> <p>Open-Source as a Foundation for Trust: Proprietary platforms limit transparency and user empowerment. Open-source technologies, supported by initiatives like the European Commission's NGI programs, ensure accountability and foster innovation. Strengthening incentives for such projects is crucial to building resilient digital ecosystems.</p> <p>Accessibility and Inclusion: Millions remain excluded from digital financial systems due to high costs, complex interfaces, or structural barriers. Inclusive design can close these gaps, ensuring equal participation while adhering to EU regulations on data protection, anti-money laundering, and tax compliance.</p> <p>Finally, this issue ties directly to the Digital Euro legislative initiative, offering an opportunity to embed privacy, openness, and inclusivity into the next generation of digital payments.</p> |
| 11 | Mathea Essinger          | Fellowship BMDV           | Other               |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <p>Status quo of the DSA enforcement in Germany and policy recommendations for the improvement of its framework with a focus on the protection of vulnerable groups</p>   |
| 12 | Amali De Silva-Mitchell  | IGF DC DDHT               | Other               |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <p style="background-color: #E0E0E0; padding: 2px;">What differences exist for supporting ehealth for the youth group VS children, adults and elderly ? What should we be aware of ?</p>  |
| 13 | Jorge Cancio             | Bakom                     | Government          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <p style="background-color: #E0E0E0; padding: 2px;">The Sao Paulo Multistakeholder Guidelines (SPMG) offer specific guidance to improve multistakeholder processes. NRIs and Eurodig can lead by example by analyzing its own processes in light of the SPMG and showcasing the results of such evaluation</p>  |

# List of proposals for EuroDIG 2025 *(as of 1 January 2025)*



■ Access & literacy 
 ■ Development of IG ecosystem 
 ■ Human rights & data protection 
 ■ Innovation and economic issues  
■ Media & content 
 ■ Cross cutting / other issues 
 ■ Security and crime 
 ■ Technical & operational issues

|    |              |   |                |                                      |                                       |                                      |  |  |                                    |  |   |
|----|--------------|---|----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|--|---|
| 14 | Edan Ring    | Israel Internet Association (ISOC-IL)   | Civil society  | <span style="color: green;">■</span> | <span style="color: yellow;">■</span> | <span style="color: brown;">■</span> |  |  |                                    |  | <p><b>Digital Divide in Multicultural Societies</b><br/>                 The digital divide in multicultural societies is one of the most pressing challenges of the modern digital era. Both in Europe and in Israel, closing this divide presents a crucial catalyst for socioeconomic advancement across diverse communities and populations. With a population of 447 million people across 27 member states, the EU faces unique challenges when addressing digital inequalities among its multicultural population, including linguistic minorities, migrant communities, and economically disadvantaged groups. Similarly to other multicultural societies, in Israel ISOC-IL identifies the digital divide across a wide range of levels and fields, revealing how digital exclusion and inequality manifests throughout society. This includes data collection and analysis on internet access, digital literacy, and online safety patterns among Israel's diverse populations, including Arab society, ultra-orthodox Jewish communities, senior citizens, and various socioeconomic groups.</p> <p>The upcoming EuroDIG presents a crucial opportunity to examine digital divides through cross-cultural, evidence-based research. Comparing experiences and data across different multicultural societies can inform more effective policymaking and practical solutions. This exchange of knowledge and methodologies at EuroDIG can help develop more nuanced, culturally-sensitive approaches to bridging digital gaps across Europe's diverse communities.</p> |
| 15 | Nitsan Yasur | Israel Internet Association (ISOC-IL)   | Civil society  |                                      | <span style="color: orange;">■</span> | <span style="color: brown;">■</span> |  |  | <span style="color: red;">■</span> |  | <p><b>Online Safety and Content Moderation by Digital Platforms</b><br/>                 The challenges of online safety and platform accountability become particularly acute during times of crisis and conflict. Social media platforms face unprecedented challenges in handling surges of harmful content, disinformation, and coordinated inauthentic behavior, while maintaining user safety and information integrity. Recent conflicts highlight weaknesses in the platforms' ability to respond effectively to emergency situations, particularly regarding content moderation, response times, and consistent policy enforcement.</p> <p>Civil society organizations play a crucial role in this landscape, serving as independent watchdogs and first responders. Positioned between state regulation and market interests, these organizations often lead efforts to counter disinformation, protect vulnerable populations, and advocate for greater platform accountability. The upcoming EuroDIG presents a vital platform to examine these challenges and share cross-cultural experiences in addressing online threats during crises. This exchange can help develop more effective approaches to platform accountability across Europe's diverse communities.</p>  |
| 16 | Anna Lob     | D64 – Zentrum für digitalen Fortschritt | Civil society  | <span style="color: green;">■</span> | <span style="color: orange;">■</span> |                                      |  |  |                                    |  | <p>The issue I want to propose is the question of how we can increase citizen participation in topics relating to internet governance and digital policy. Citizens play a huge role in shaping the internet as a space where everybody feels welcome and where you do not have to be afraid of fraud or violence. There are lots of good practice examples from different stakeholders like civil society, businesses and governments on how to bring citizens in contact with topics of internet governance.</p>   |
| 17 | Miguel Vidal | Deutsche Telekom                        | Private sector |                                      | <span style="color: orange;">■</span> |                                      | <span style="color: lightblue;">■</span> |  |                                    |  | <p><b>"Internet Fragmentation, 30 Years After"</b><br/>                 Thirty years after the term "Internet fragmentation" first entered the discourse, this concept now captures a reality marked by profound shifts and new challenges. Initially envisioned as a unified global network, the Internet today faces a range of forces pulling it apart—from government-imposed firewalls and regulatory barriers to the rise of large private networks owned by major tech companies. These private ecosystems often operate in parallel to the open Internet, concentrating data flows, services, and power within a handful of corporate platforms.</p> <p>This panel will examine the evolution of Internet fragmentation, tracing it from early warnings to the complex geopolitical, economic, and technological realities shaping it today. What are the implications of this fragmentation for global connectivity, innovation, and individual freedoms? How do isolated national networks and corporate "walled gardens" impact openness, interoperability, and competition?</p> <p>Finally, the panel will look forward: What does the future hold for the Internet's original vision of global unity in an era defined by competing interests? We will explore strategies to preserve openness and cooperation while addressing legitimate concerns around security, sovereignty, and privacy in an increasingly fragmented landscape.</p>   |



# List of proposals for EuroDIG 2025 (as of 1 January 2025)



■ Access & literacy 
 ■ Development of IG ecosystem 
 ■ Human rights & data protection 
 ■ Innovation and economic issues  
■ Media & content 
 ■ Cross cutting / other issues 
 ■ Security and crime 
 ■ Technical & operational issues

|    |                  |   |               |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|----|------------------|---|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| 22 | Natálie Terčová  | IGF Czechia   | Academia      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <p>Sharenting, the act of parents or caregivers sharing content depicting their children online, often with sensitive personal information, has become a critical issue in Europe. It intersects with multiple categories:</p> <p><b>Media &amp; Content:</b><br/>The proliferation of sharenting raises concerns about the permanence of digital footprints and the unintended consequences of sharing private content. In Europe, where digital literacy and responsible content sharing are emphasized, sharenting highlights the need for awareness campaigns and education to encourage parents to consider the long-term impacts of their online behavior.</p> <p><b>Security &amp; Crime:</b><br/>Sharenting increases the risk of children's images being misused for purposes such as identity theft, cyberbullying, or even exploitation. With Europe's commitment to safeguarding children online through frameworks like the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), this issue emphasizes the importance of reinforcing digital safeguards and encouraging parental responsibility in mitigating online risks.</p> <p><b>Human Rights &amp; Privacy:</b><br/>Children's right to privacy is enshrined in both European and international human rights law. Sharenting often occurs without the child's informed consent, which could lead to violations of their autonomy and privacy. This is especially significant in Europe, where the legal landscape prioritizes data protection and upholding individual rights. The issue underlines the necessity of integrating children's rights perspectives into broader privacy discourses.</p> |
| 23 | Michael Terhörst | Federal Office for the Enforcement of Children's Rights in digital Services | Government    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <p>Digital services are an important part of children's lives. Social media applications are popular for peer interaction and entertainment.</p> <p>Children also have a right to participation that extends to the digital space. Given the risks of using social media, to what extent can this right be restricted, thereby limiting children's access to social media?</p> <p>Is a ban necessary or are there other options that take into account the risks while recognising the positive aspects and opportunities of the applications? What other alternatives are there to enable children to grow up well with media?</p>   |
| 24 | Christina Fuhs   | Federal Agency for Child and Youth Protection in the Media (BzKJ)           | Government    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <p>Digital offerings play an important role in the development of values and opinions, political education and participation of children and young people. At the same time, key values and attitudes of young people can be negatively influenced by phenomena such as disinformation, deepfakes, extremism and hate speech, which can foster hostility towards democracy. It is thus important to design digital offerings in a way that supports age-appropriate participation and democratic capacities of children and young people in the digital world. An environment created and regulated by adults. Modern child and youth protection in the media means thinking from the child's perspective. In order to support children's democratic capacity and to successfully enforce their rights in the digital space, it is essential to include their perspective and to integrate their experiences and ideas into the regulatory work. Therefore, young people's participation should also be included in the discussion and development of preventive measures and their specific design and quality criteria in order to improve the effectiveness of preventive measures. Their demands for reporting and redress procedures, safe default settings and child-friendly terms and conditions are an important part of the development and implementation. It is thus an immense importance and need for more youth participation, to integrate their experiences and ideas into regulatory work and legislation at national and European level.</p>   |
| 25 | Yannic Plumpe    | TUM Think Tank  | Academia      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <p>How can Europe define a concept of digital sovereignty that is both shared and robust, while maintaining the agility needed in the age of the network society? This question lies at the heart of Europe's struggle to secure its digital future. As cloud providers dominate critical infrastructure, the balance between autonomy and global interconnectivity becomes increasingly precarious. Efforts like Gaia-X aim to reclaim control, but these initiatives often rely on underlying technologies or standards from non-European actors, raising doubts about how "sovereign" such solutions truly are. The challenge extends beyond Europe. Many nations in the Global Majority face similar dilemmas but with fewer resources to develop independent alternatives. These countries are often left to navigate a digital landscape shaped by external powers, raising concerns about equitable access, data governance, and geopolitical dependency. For Europe, defining digital sovereignty isn't just a technical issue, it's a cultural and political challenge. It requires a shared understanding of sovereignty that respects national autonomy while fostering cross-border collaboration. At the same time, it must provide a strong foundation for resilience and innovation without stifling the flexibility essential to thrive in a rapidly evolving, interconnected world. Can Europe rise to this challenge, balancing shared principles with the adaptability needed for the network society?</p>   |
| 26 | Giacomo Mazzone  | Eurovisioni   | Civil society |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Distinguish true from false: will be still part of human rights in the world dominated by A.I.?   |
| 27 | Giacomo Mazzone  | Eurovisioni   | Civil society |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EuroDIG and the national european IGFs : which kind of relations in the post WSIS+20 world ?  |

# List of proposals for EuroDIG 2025 (as of 1 January 2025)



- Access & literacy 
 ■ Development of IG ecosystem 
 ■ Human rights & data protection 
 ■ Innovation and economic issues  
■ Media & content 
 ■ Cross cutting / other issues 
 ■ Security and crime 
 ■ Technical & operational issues

|    |                     |  |               |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|----|---------------------|--|---------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| 28 | Melodena Stephens   | Mohammed Bin Rashid School of Government | Academia      | ■ |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | When it comes to assessing AI literacy, existing accreditation bodies, standards, and certifications often fall short. They tend to focus on specific aspects such as legal interpretation, ethics terminology, quality management, use cases, certifications, or digital skills. These elements only address fragments of the broader AI literacy framework. Moreover, compliance with AI literacy requirements does not necessarily guarantee trustworthy AI. The rapid evolution of AI systems often outpaces regulatory developments, creating gaps in oversight. Addressing these gaps requires a more proactive approach that anticipates balancing trade-offs of future risks and opportunities. AI literacy should not be limited to AI providers and employers as written in the EU AI Act. It should extend to a whole-of-society, whole-of-industry, and whole-of-government approach at both national and international levels, ensuring that no one is left behind. This is not just an EU issue but a global issue which is constantly evolving. The AI supply chain and its exports and impacts are seen at a global level whether it is economy, sustainability, talent, wellbeing, or impact on lives and livelihood. This is an opportunity for EU to create a “Brussels Effect” for AI literacy. We are a diverse group of professionals concerned about this issue (gender, geography, sector) that would be happy to put together a panel/workshop to discuss it. Prof. Melodena Stephens & Paola Galvez Callirgos.  |
| 29 | Xingdong Fang       | Zhejiang University                      | Civil society |   | ■ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Digital Cooperation Between China and Europe in the Context of the “Global Digital Compact”<br>Amid complex global geopolitics, digitalization has become a key driver of global transformation and a critical focus for national development. The UN’s Global Digital Compact (GDC) offers a framework for addressing challenges and setting directions in global digital governance. Within this context, China-Europe digital cooperation is crucial for advancing innovation, bridging the digital divide, and enhancing cross-border data flows, while shaping the success of global governance. The absence of unified frameworks for cross-border data flows and technical standards poses significant challenges, particularly given the differences between China and Europe in data privacy, digital sovereignty, and AI ethics. The GDC provides a platform to foster collaboration and establish clearer rules for global digital governance. Despite these differences, China and Europe share common objectives in areas like the digital economy, AI ethics, and cybersecurity. The GDC offers a space for dialogue to align efforts and address mutual concerns. Key areas for China-Europe cooperation under the GDC include Data Governance, Ethical AI Development and Cybersecurity. While challenges like competition and geopolitical differences may persist, the GDC provides a platform for China and Europe to lead in global digital governance and shape fair, transparent rules for the digital economy.   |
| 30 | Karolina Gyurovszka | Martel Innovate                          | Government    |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 1em; height: 1em; background-color: purple; margin-right: 5px;"></div> <p>What can policymakers do to increase the uptake of OSS in the EU?<br/>OSS has become a cornerstone of innovation, collaboration, and digital sovereignty in Europe. However, its widespread adoption and integration into both public and private sectors face numerous challenges. Despite its benefits—including enhanced transparency, reduced costs, and technological independence—various actors, from corporations to grassroots to public entities, remain hesitant to adopt OSS solutions.<br/>This workshop aims at answering two pressing questions.(i) How do we increase Open Source Software uptake and awareness by companies? and (ii) How do we remove existing barriers for OSS uptake in the EU? By addressing barriers to adoption and exploring actionable priorities, which also include ethics and sustainability, the session seeks to contribute to the transformation of ongoing discussions between the OSS community, industry stakeholders, EU policymakers, and end-users.<br/>Through insights gathered from this session, the workshop aims to contribute to exchanges with the European Commission’s Units dealing with the European digital ecosystem, providing perspectives that reflect the real needs of OSS users. The outputs will feed into the work carried out by Martel Innovate and Digital for Planet on EU-funded projects in shaping policy roadmaps. They will directly inform projects like OpenVerse, NexusForum.EU, and NGI Commons (websites: open-verse.eu, nexusforum.eu, commons.ngi.eu), which aim to bridge the European digital ecosystem and communities with European Commission priorities.</p> </div> |

# List of proposals for EuroDIG 2025 (as of 1 January 2025)



- Access & literacy
- Development of IG ecosystem
- Human rights & data protection
- Innovation and economic issues
- Media & content
- Cross cutting / other issues
- Security and crime
- Technical & operational issues

|    |                     |                                 |                |  |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |
|----|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| 31 | Samo Grasic         | LateLab AB                      | Civil society  |  | ■ |   | ■ |   |  |  |  |  |  |  | <p>Emerging Governance Issues Around Satellite Internet Constellations</p> <p>The rapid deployment of satellite internet constellations (e.g., Starlink) has had a transformative impact on remote communities previously lacking affordable, high-speed connectivity. This progress promises to improve living standards but also exposes critical governance challenges:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· Regulatory Gaps<br/>Existing frameworks are often weak or loosely enforced, creating uncertainty and potential for unchecked private sector influence.</li> <li>· Enforcement Difficulties<br/>The global nature of satellite networks complicates oversight, making it hard for national or regional bodies to ensure compliance.</li> <li>· Monopolistic Tendencies<br/>A single dominant provider can limit competition, potentially driving up long-term costs and reducing service quality.</li> <li>· Commercial and Proprietary Technology<br/>Reliance on private infrastructure heightens surveillance risks and restricts transparency in data handling.</li> <li>· Risk of Government Underinvestment in Fiber<br/>Some governments now subsidize satellite services to quickly connect underserved regions. While this addresses immediate needs, it could deter future investment in robust, community-owned fiber networks. Over-reliance on one commercial provider leaves remote and vulnerable communities exposed to service or policy changes beyond their control.</li> </ul>   |
| 32 | Alexander Generalov | Creative Commons Global Network | Civil society  |  |   | ■ | ■ | ■ |  |  |  |  |  |  | <p>The challenges of harmonising the provision of free access to content between different regulatory frameworks. Essentially all artificial intelligence now violates copyright law by using content without permission to learn allegedly to the extent of violating criminal law for widespread copyright infringement. In general, it is a grey area in the law. There are both prohibitions and no freedom of use and freedom to develop the industry. So many restrictions have been imposed that under a strict approach, legal artificial intelligence can only be created on the basis of public domain text and free content (e.g. through the use of Creative Commons licences). A global legal framework and a coordination organisation or coordination within the WIPO as a UN body is needed. The EU officially enacted the world's first AI Act. The CoE Framework Convention on Artificial Intelligence and Human Rights, Democracy and the Rule of Law (CFE No. 225) was opened for signature. On 8 October 2024, the Commonwealth of Independent States (some of whom are also in Europe) adopted a Statement. The Heads of State advocate the formation of an international system of regulation of civil artificial intelligence under the central coordinating role of the UN on the basis of the exclusive right of states to make decisions within the framework of equal and mutually respectful dialogue in accordance with international law. In Europe, it is necessary to achieve an effective and implemented legal framework so that the interests of both business and society are taken into account at the same time.</p> |
| 33 | Rob van Kranenburg  | Martel Innovate                 | Private sector |  |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  | <p>■ What can policymakers do to increase the uptake of OSS in the EU?</p> <p>OSS has become a cornerstone of innovation, collaboration, and digital sovereignty in Europe. However, its widespread adoption and integration into both public and private sectors face numerous challenges. Despite its benefits—including enhanced transparency, reduced costs, and technological independence—various actors, from corporations to grassroots to public entities, remain hesitant to adopt OSS solutions.</p> <p>This participatory workshop aims at answering two pressing questions.(i) How do we increase Open Source Software uptake and awareness by companies? and (ii) How do we remove existing barriers for Open Source Software uptake in the EU? By together addressing barriers to adoption and exploring actionable priorities, which also include ethics and sustainability, the session seeks to contribute to the transformation of the ongoing discussions between the OSS community, industry stakeholders, EU policymakers, and end-users.</p> <p>Through insights gathered from this co-creation session, the workshop aims to contribute to exchanges with the European Commission's Units dealing with the European digital ecosystem, providing grassroots perspectives that reflect the real needs of OSS users and contributors.</p>   |

# List of proposals for EuroDIG 2025 (as of 1 January 2025)



■ Access & literacy 
 ■ Development of IG ecosystem 
 ■ Human rights & data protection 
 ■ Innovation and economic issues  
■ Media & content 
 ■ Cross cutting / other issues 
 ■ Security and crime 
 ■ Technical & operational issues

|    |                     |                              |               |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|---------------------|------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 34 | Sardar Farman Ullah | QUAID-I-AZAM UNIVERSITY      | Academia      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <p>Abstract: The study aims to explore killer robots' implications for the European Union. Killer robots are autonomous weapons that can kill humans without human intervention, crossing moral lines and potentially impacting human life. Protect civilians from these weapons in the European Union, collecting public opinion against them. A protective ban on offensive killer robots is crucial for setting an honorable limit to killing-yielding mechanization and regulating other robotics and emerging technology that could destabilize international peace and security. The study uses a historical and descriptive qualitative research method, collecting data through primary and secondary data sources. The researchers discuss the future outlook on legal and ethical issues, focusing on understanding the material to prevent and control killer robot systems in the European Union. They aim to collect youth ideas to ban target killing and promote the peaceful use of robotics over military use.</p> <p>Relevance for the European Union<br/>This study is highly relevant for Europe due to the ethical, humanitarian, legal, and societal implications of killer robots. By engaging in research, public discourse, and policy development, the EU can play a crucial role in ensuring the responsible development and use of emerging technologies while upholding human dignity and international peace and security.</p> |
| 35 | Oksana Prykhodko    | iNGO European Media Platform | Civil society |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <p>One Europe one Internet? Russia officially took the course on "sovereign Internet" in 2019, with a set of amendments to existing national legislation to ensure the possibility to partition the country from the rest of the Internet. It can be "national personal matter", if not for the aggression against neighbouring countries. As a Ukrainian, I can testify the attempts to ruin of the Ukrainian Internet and energy infrastructure, killing and/or invalidization of IT, ISP, energy and other heroes-professionals (after repeated bombing attacks), cyber attacks, disinformation, as well as re-routing of Internet traffic in temporarily occupied territories of Ukraine. Attempts to destroy Baltic under-sea cables, GPS blocking, campaigns on influencing election processes throughout Europe (and maybe the world) have also to be discussed. What measures (and by whom) have to be undertaken to ensure free, secure and stable internet in Europe?</p>  |
| 36 | Oksana Prykhodko    | iNGO European Media Platform | Civil society |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <p>Multistakeholder mapping of Europe. European Internet Governance Initiatives page of EuroDIG (<a href="https://www.eurodig.org/european-ig-initiatives/">https://www.eurodig.org/european-ig-initiatives/</a>) lists 33 European national initiatives (Council of Europe – CoE - has 46 member states, and two countries, which IG initiatives are listed on this page, are nor members of CoE). So, only 31 CoE members (from 46) have their national IG initiatives. From 27 members of the European Union only 18 countries have their national IG initiatives. What does it mean? What are the roles of national IG initiatives in national/European/world-wide policy making on Internet governance? On UN level? On the level of CoE? EU? RIPE NCC? ISOC?</p>   |
| 37 | Oksana Prykhodko    | iNGO European Media Platform | Civil society |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <p>Electronic evidences of war crimes/ Evidences of electronic war crimes<br/>The only one international binding judicial document regarding cyber crimes is Council of Europe Cybercrime Convention (ETS No.185) and Second Additional Protocol to the Cybercrime Convention on enhanced co-operation and disclosure of electronic evidence (CETS No.224). A lot of international, national, NGO and private organizations are gathering electronic (among others) evidences of russian war crimes in Ukraine (and not only). Gathering of electronic (cyber) war crimes is more difficult.</p>   |
| 38 | Alessandro De Luca  | Associazione Luca Coscioni   | Civil society |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <p>AI for Common Good<br/>Despite longlasting discussions on its ethical implications, artificial intelligence is deeply embedded in our lives. The challenge is no longer whether to adopt it, but how to ensure it serves democratic values. How can we use AI to achieve a citizen-centered society? Can the EU harness AI to empower citizens while addressing issues like transparency, data control, and equitable access?<br/>AI can facilitate popular participation, but how do we ensure that new tools are inclusive, multilingual, and reflective of Europe's diverse political and social landscape? Open-source systems and interoperable platforms could reduce dependence on tech monopolies, yet how do we balance innovation with accountability? And can the EU lead the global race with a rights-driven approach to technology?<br/>Rather than reinventing the wheel, the EU could draw on existing innovations, scaling up solutions through a multi-stakeholder approach.</p>  |
| 39 | Jessica Sanson      | Associazione Luca Coscioni   | Civil society |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | <p>Civic Social Media<br/>In the context of European political debates, where misinformation and disinformation threaten democratic integrity, can civic social media be a tool for fostering trust in democracies and safeguarding the public sphere? While digital platforms increasingly shape political discourse, a space that fosters democratic participation while adhering to European values is still missing. Drawing inspiration from the Fediverse (e.g. Mastodon), can the EU create a platform that enables citizens, civil society, and political parties to engage in transparent, inclusive discussions? And may this platform support deliberative decision-making and offer an accessible, user-friendly design compliant with EU regulations like GDPR and the DSA? In this framework, AI might help analyze contributions, detect disinformation, and summarize debates. It could also provide insights into public sentiment and highlight underrepresented voices, while offering customizable discussion modules and real-time data for policy makers.</p>  |



# List of proposals for EuroDIG 2025 (as of 1 January 2025)



■ Access & literacy 
 ■ Development of IG ecosystem 
 ■ Human rights & data protection 
 ■ Innovation and economic issues  
■ Media & content 
 ■ Cross cutting / other issues 
 ■ Security and crime 
 ■ Technical & operational issues

|    |                     |                        |                     |   |   |   |   |  |  |  |   |  |
|----|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| 40 | Peter Koch          | DENIC eG               | Technical community |   | ■ |   |   |  |  |  | ■ | Recent years have seen a number of proposed or demonstrated advances to networking or network related technologies, affecting speed, bandwidth, latency, or other physical parameters. Do any of these new technologies require, imply, or even support new governance approaches? Are there governance invariants? Are there "critical resources"? What are the new or re-shaped governance questions? What are the roles of different stakeholders?  |
| 41 | Carolin Kothe       | /                      | Civil society       | ■ |   |   | ■ |  |  |  |   | A well-functioning public sector is the backbone of Europe's economy and a key pillar of democratic governance. Many European countries—including economically strong nations like Germany—face legacy structures and sluggish processes in justice, law enforcement, and administration. These inefficiencies impede timely justice, erode trust in governmental institutions, and can weaken Europe's global competitiveness. While AI-powered tools (e.g., automated document review, predictive policing with safeguards) can accelerate bureaucratic workflows, they also raise critical questions about ethics, data security, and due process. AI is just the surface of a deeper challenge: fostering cultural transformation in public administration and redefining the identity of the public sector. The real task is balancing dynamism and stability—an intricate endeavor requiring a coordinated, multi-stakeholder approach. This includes reimagining regulatory frameworks, setting robust standards, and building civil servants' capacity for digital transformation. One powerful lever lies in defining technical standards, taking cues from the open and collaborative "Request for Comments" approach that propelled the internet's growth. By adopting similar methodologies, public administration can ensure interoperability, security, and innovation. Embedding this mindset helps bridge the gap between technological progress and effective governance—keeping Europe innovative, rights-driven, and ready for the future.  |
| 42 | Andrey Shcherbovich | Free Moscow University | Academia            |   | ■ | ■ |   |  |  |  |   | Given the expiration of the current mandate of the Internet Governance Forum in 2025, it is necessary to consider its extension. At the same time, there is a need for an international organization to provide a legal foundation, infrastructure, financing and organization of international interaction of stakeholders with international legal personality (the right to conclude contracts with third parties) and immunity (protection and autonomy from national laws) for all participants in processes and dialogues, communities and organizations in all member countries (for example, regional IGFs can be held by structural divisions of such an international organization). Such a configuration can solve, in particular, a number of problems and translate discussions into practice, instead of theoretical work, begin the implementation of a number of ideas that do not develop or reach a dead end without the existence of an organization and long-term financial support. International coordination is also required to encourage not a centralized vertical, but a horizontal (within countries) model of financing professional and other communities and NGOs. The two approaches (an international organization with immunity and local within-country financing of NGOs and communities) will complement each other and provide an opportunity to choose. At present, international organizations and initiatives in the field of IG do not take into account the existence of specific national laws in a number of countries and do not understand their specific application - intended or actual. |
| 43 | Benedetta Veneruso  | Deloitte Italy         | Private sector      | ■ |   | ■ | ■ |  |  |  |   | <p>The adoption of AI-driven decision-making systems is creating transformative opportunities while raising significant concerns about their societal and ethical implications. These systems, though promising to enhance economic growth and innovation, also risk undermining fundamental rights, fairness, and democratic values if not properly governed. This issue is part of a broader challenge: ensuring the ethical integration of AI into society while addressing its potential to exacerbate inequalities, bias, and misuse. It is interconnected with related issues such as data governance, transparency in algorithmic decision-making, and the accountability of AI systems in critical sectors like healthcare, finance, and public services.</p> <p>By proposing a methodology aligned with European AI legislation, we aim to assess and mitigate the risks these systems pose to individuals and communities. This approach fosters multi-stakeholder collaboration, enabling society to harness the benefits of AI while safeguarding fundamental rights and trust in technology.</p>  |
| 44 | Benedetta Veneruso  | Deloitte Italy         | Private sector      | ■ |   | ■ | ■ |  |  |  |   | <p>The adoption of AI-driven decision-making systems is creating transformative opportunities while raising significant concerns about their societal and ethical implications. These systems, though promising to enhance economic growth and innovation, also risk undermining fundamental rights, fairness, and democratic values if not properly governed. This issue is part of a broader challenge: ensuring the ethical integration of AI into society while addressing its potential to exacerbate inequalities, bias, and misuse. It is interconnected with related issues such as data governance, transparency in algorithmic decision-making, and the accountability of AI systems in critical sectors like healthcare, finance, and public services.</p> <p>We recognize the need for a methodology aligned with European AI legislation, aiming to assess and mitigate the risks these systems pose to individuals and communities. This approach fosters multi-stakeholder collaboration, enabling society to harness the benefits of AI while safeguarding fundamental rights and trust in technology.</p>   |
| 45 | Constance Weise     | IEEE                   | Technical community |   |   |   | ■ |  |  |  | ■ | The EU Cyber Resilience Act: Challenges and Opportunities: The Act introduces requirements for manufacturers, importers, and distributors of hardware and software products with digital elements and notes harmonization with other relevant EU legislation, such as the AI Act. What are the challenges that have been uncovered and how are they being addressed to provide a safe and secure environment.  |









# List of proposals for EuroDIG 2025 (as of 1 January 2025)



■ Access & literacy 
 ■ Development of IG ecosystem 
 ■ Human rights & data protection 
 ■ Innovation and economic issues  
■ Media & content 
 ■ Cross cutting / other issues 
 ■ Security and crime 
 ■ Technical & operational issues

|    |                   |                            |                     |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |   |   |   |  |  |
|----|-------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| 69 | Pietro Migliorati | Associazione Luca Coscioni | Civil society       |  | ■ | ■ |  |  |  |  |   |   |   |  | As digital transformation is reshaping our lives, the EU is offered the opportunity to take a pivotal role in updating global standards for democracy. Clearly, it is not an easy task to do, and the implementation of digital democracy systems requires a holistic approach. First, the digital divide ought to be tackled to address structural gaps, thus enabling eParticipation through a more accessible and affordable Internet. Second, to pursue inclusivity, any new tool should be developed embracing open-source software, interoperability, and multilingualism. Third, discussions on secure technologies to enable e-voting and increase trust in technologies should be re-opened, following in the steps of fully digitalised countries like Taiwan and Estonia. And other challenges remain, such as digital literacy gaps, the risk of exclusion, and liability of automated agents in AI-driven decision-making. However, how can democracies bend disruptive technologies to promote political dialogue, rather than fuelling polarisation and oppression?   |
| 70 | Piotr Stowiński   | NASK PIB                   | Technical community |  | ■ |   |  |  |  |  | ■ | ■ |   |  | <p>Cybersecurity risk-management measures for SME's and public administration   Cybersecurity undeniably constitutes one of the most crucial elements that SMEs must ensure, regardless of the economic sector they operate in. The same applies to the public administration – its proper operations, increasingly based on the systems and networks availability and reliability, is of key importance for the functioning of the state. Failure to ensure cybersecurity exposes to financial or reputational losses, not to mention e.g. the data leakage, systems or networks disruption, or ransomware. In addition, an increasing number of regulations, such as NIS 2, integrate above sectors into the larger cybersecurity ecosystem, so far dominated by larger entities.</p> <p>One of the significant factors is cybersecurity risk-management measures implementation. Its primary goal is to counteract cyber threats and incidents or mitigate their negative effects. For many SME or public administration entities implementing it can be a significant challenge – organizationally, financially, and operationally. This is influenced mostly by the maturity level. This requires further experience sharing by more mature entities and countries with those less advanced, as well as increased efforts to harmonize procedures for sharing information about incidents, responding to large-scale and cross-border incidents, as well as cooperation between countries and EU institutions in countering cyber threats and thus building the resilience of the entire Union and individual member states.</p>  |
| 71 | Piotr Stowiński   | NASK PIB                   | Technical community |  |   |   |  |  |  |  | ■ |   | ■ |  | <p>AI's cybersecurity and AI in cybersecurity - challenges and opportunities for the cybersecurity landscape in incident response, countering cyberthreats and cybercrime prevention   Artificial Intelligence (AI) dual role in cybersecurity—both as a tool for defence and a potential weapon for attackers—makes it a critical area of focus, requiring further consideration on a much deeper level than before. AI is already utilised to enhance attacks, such as through AI-driven phishing and deepfakes. It can also automate malware creation, making it more difficult to detect and counteract and at the same time - more common as it is easier for even a non-technical person to develop it. But AI can also be helpful for blue teams. It can detect and analyse threats automatically or find patterns and anomalies in large amounts of data. This helps prevent threats from happening and reduce response times.</p> <p>The EU is integrating AI into cybersecurity strategies, with initiatives like the AI Act and H2020 projects like IRIS. This fosters collaboration and harmonizes cybersecurity practices across member states, building a resilient digital ecosystem. As it is only the beginning of the road for the EU and the world, there is a need to undertake specific actions. This includes e.g.:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-tailored investment and financing for secure and human rights centric AI systems,</li> <li>-expanding training and skill development capabilities in the EU</li> <li>-collaboration and information sharing, both on the highest and lower levels</li> <li>-encouraging responsible and goal-oriented public-private partnerships</li> </ul> |
| 72 | Piotr Stowiński   | NASK PIB                   | Technical community |  |   | ■ |  |  |  |  | ■ | ■ |   |  | <p>International cybercrime regulations - current state of play and the future landscape   The Council of Europe Budapest Convention on Cybercrime was the first international treaty aimed at addressing internet and computer crime by harmonizing national laws, improving investigative techniques, and increasing cooperation among nations. However, with the rapid evolution of technology and cyber threats, there is a growing need to revise and update this convention. Discussions on the need to address the current cybercrime challenges by adopting a new landmark international regulation were brought up. One of the most recent developments in this area is the UN Convention against Cybercrime, adopted on 24.12.2024 by the UN General Assembly. The text is the result of 5 years negotiations with the input of civil society, academic institutions and the private sector. Thus, a transition point is being reached to discuss, clarify and implement new solutions in the international dimension of combating cybercrime.</p> <p>A series of issues need to be addressed at this stage, related to both the interplay of existing and new regulations on each other and the linkage of international regulations on regional and national ones, not only in the area of preventing and investigating crimes, but also cybersecurity and new, emerging technologies in a broader sense. Creating a harmonised system for combating cybercrime that respects fundamental rights and ensures that the objectives of pursuing and preventing cybercrime are met is a huge and significant challenge for many stakeholder groups.</p>  |

# List of proposals for EuroDIG 2025 (as of 1 January 2025)



■ Access & literacy 
 ■ Development of IG ecosystem 
 ■ Human rights & data protection 
 ■ Innovation and economic issues  
■ Media & content 
 ■ Cross cutting / other issues 
 ■ Security and crime 
 ■ Technical & operational issues

|    |                    |                                  |               |   |  |   |  |  |  |   |  |   |  |  |
|----|--------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| 73 | Avraham Shmulevich | Free university                  | Academia      | ■ |  | ■ |  |  |  |   |  |   |  | <p>The Problem of Organizing an Online University</p> <p>The educational process today is still based on the same principles that universities were founded on more than 1,000 years ago. Most lectures are delivered orally by a teacher to students sitting in a physical classroom, with minimal use of modern technologies. To study, students are required to reside in a specific location.</p> <p>In the 21st century, this approach is hopelessly outdated.</p> <p>It is essential to develop an online education project that fully utilizes modern Internet technologies and is based on new principles, tailored to the needs of today's world.</p>   |
| 74 | Avraham Shmulevich | Free university                  | Academia      | ■ |  | ■ |  |  |  |   |  | ■ |  | <p>The problem of access to content for divided peoples. Internet as a factor of survival and preservation of national identity for diaspora and divided peoples.</p> <p>Europe has become home to many divided peoples. This problem has become particularly acute in recent decades. Diasporic and divided peoples are peoples who have no statehood or live in several states. They face many challenges and difficulties in preserving their national identity and language, establishing contacts with members of their own ethnicity, facing the risk of losing their language, traditions, and often facing discrimination and persecution. The Internet provides unique opportunities to overcome these challenges.</p> <p>Namely:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Language and cultural preservation;</li> <li>Supporting national identity;</li> <li>Social connections;</li> <li>Human rights and political activism.</li> </ul> <p>Online platforms allow diasporas to create virtual communities, use the Internet to mobilize their members to defend themselves against discrimination.</p> <p>However, there are a number of challenges:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Language barriers. Many resources are only available in a few major languages.</li> <li>Unequal access to the Internet;</li> <li>Some diaspora members lack internet skills;</li> <li>Restrictions on the internet in a number of countries;</li> </ul> <p>Issues of divided peoples require special attention when developing Internet governance policies. Strengthening digital connectivity between diaspora communities contributes to a more inclusive society in which every culture and identity finds its place.</p> |
| 75 | Avraham Shmulevich | Institute of Eastern Partnership | Civil society | ■ |  | ■ |  |  |  | ■ |  |   |  | <p>The Internet as a Platform for Interreligious Dialogue</p> <p>The internet has the potential to foster interreligious dialogue, connecting people of different faiths and promoting mutual understanding. However, several issues hinder its effectiveness:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Misinformation and Stereotypes: False or biased content spreads easily, reinforcing religious stereotypes and fueling intolerance.</li> <li>2. Polarization and Echo Chambers: Algorithms often prioritize divisive content, limiting exposure to diverse perspectives and stifling dialogue.</li> <li>3. Anonymity and Hate Speech: Online anonymity enables hate speech and reduces accountability, undermining respectful communication.</li> </ol> <p>Solutions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Education and Awareness: Digital literacy programs can teach users to critically assess content, reducing the impact of misinformation. Interfaith organizations should develop accessible resources to counter stereotypes and promote understanding.</li> <li>2. Platform Responsibility: Social media platforms must enhance content moderation, combining algorithms and human oversight to combat hate speech while fostering respectful discussions.</li> <li>3. Intentional Dialogue Initiatives: Virtual interfaith forums, webinars, and collaborative projects can create safe spaces for sharing beliefs and building trust.</li> </ol>   |