

Comments on the Draft Programme for EuroDIG 2026

First name*	Family name*	Affiliation*	Stakeholder group*	Your comment on the draft programme for EuroDIG 2026*
by form				
Constance	Weise	IEEE	Technical community	IEEE proposes incorporating IEEE proposal #50 into workshop 5, and proposal #51 into main topic 2. IEEE would be pleased to take the lead in organizing workshop 5 on "The role of high and frontier technologies and standards setting for the future of the internet".
Peret	Goar	Gender Initiative for Change and Social Transformation	Civil society	<p>I endorse the suggested agenda for EuroDIG 2026 and value its emphasis on nascent digital policy issues. I urge the program to enhance interdisciplinary dialogues on gender equality, human rights, and social inclusion in critical domains such as artificial intelligence governance, data protection, platform regulation, and digital security. I specifically advocate for a more thorough examination of the impact of digital policies and technologies on marginalised populations, notably women and communities in conflict-affected or resource-limited environments. Incorporating gender-responsive and context-sensitive viewpoints throughout sessions will augment the significance and efficacy of EuroDIG's policy dialogues.</p> <p>I advocate for increased inclusion of perspectives and evidence from the Global South, whose experiences provide critical insights into digital inequality, access disparities, and the unintended ramifications of regulatory frameworks. Enhancing these viewpoints can facilitate more inclusive, responsible, and globally informed outcomes in Internet governance.</p> <p>The draft programme establishes a robust framework, and I anticipate engaging in productive discussions that promote rights-based and inclusive digital governance in Europe and beyond.</p>
by email				
Eleftherios	Chelioudakis	NGI TALER		... on behalf of NGI TALER I submitted proposal no. 26 for EuroDIG 2026. We are delighted to see that our idea, together with other contributions, has helped shape the session "European Approaches to Digital Sovereignty." It is very encouraging to see this topic gaining such strong momentum within the programme. We would be very interested in remaining actively involved in the process and would welcome the opportunity to contribute to this session, either through a keynote intervention or participation in a panel. We also had the pleasure of participating in EuroDIG 2025, and we have very fond memories of the Strasbourg edition. ...
Marta	Moreira Dias	.pt		<p>I drop here a few suggestions of topics of interest for ccTLD's, at least in my perspective: For instance, Article 28 of the NIS2 Directive, and more broadly, the cybersecurity-related legal obligations applicable to ccTLDs under national legal frameworks, may appear to be an outdated topic. However, this is not the case. In fact, 2026 will be a particularly challenging year, as ccTLDs will need to comply for the first time with their national laws implementing NIS2. This represents a key challenge for all EU ccTLDs, not only in terms of day-to-day operations, but also in terms of deeper structural adjustments. These obligations may require significant changes to support systems, internal processes, security measures, and staff training, with important impacts on teams and, of course, on financial resources. Additionally, having a short session in the EU Better Regulation Agenda, which aims to simplify and reduce digital compliance obligations (many of which directly impact ccTLDs), would be very interesting. The Digital Omnibus legislative proposal, presented last November, is particularly relevant, as it proposes changes to reporting obligations under GDPR and NIS2, as well as revisions to certain GDPR definitions (including personal data). Although the timeline remains unclear, this file appears to be a priority under the Cypriot Presidency. It could be very valuable to invite a speaker to frame a broader discussion on whether this reflects: · a reaction to the so-called Brussels effect, · a response to concerns about regulatory density, or · an attempt to reduce barriers to innovation within the European digital landscape. Just a suggestion, in the case you have room for it!</p>